

The impact of the Hologic versus the Indian Council of Medical Research(ICMR) database in diagnosis of osteoporosis among South Indian subjects from India with Low impact Hip fractures



Thomas N*, Shetty S*, Kapoor N*, Asha HS*, Naik D*, Visalakshi P#, Paul TV*

*Department of Endocrinology, Diabetes & Metabolism, #Department of Biostatistics
Christian Medical College, Vellore, India.



Background

HOLOGIC- NHANES III DATABASE

Updated Data on Proximal Femur Bone Mineral Levels of US Adults

SEX	HIP BMD (gm/cm ²)
MALE	1.041 ± 0.054
FEMALE	0.942 ± 0.122

National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES III, 1988-94)

14,646 men and women aged 20 years and older using dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry

Based on Caucasian data

OSTEOPOROSIS IN INDIA

Race/ethnic differences in bone mineral densities

A comparison of bone mineral density between Caucasian, Asian and Afro-Caribbean women.

Comparative study of bone mineral density, calcium, and vitamin D status in the Gujarati and white populations of Leicester

Osteoporosis in Indians

BMD Reference Standards Among South Asians in the United States

Lower bone mineral density in Indians compared to caucasians

Population based Reference Standards of Peak Bone Mineral Density of Indian Males and Females

ICMR BULLETIN

Sex	n	Mean BMD±SD (gm/cm ²)
		Hip Forearm Spine
Male	404	0.888±0.131 0.611±0.052 0.676±0.105
Female	404	0.801±0.111 0.538±0.044 0.654±0.085

Based on Indian data

Aims & Objectives

- To assess the agreement between the Hologic Database(HD) and the ICMR database(ICMRD)
- In defining normal and subnormal BMD
- In diagnosing osteoporosis in subjects with low impact Hip fracture.
- To arrive at a cut off of BMD and T scores which have a high sensitivity of predicting fracture using both databases.

Materials & Methods

- A Cross-sectional study
- Study period - Jan 2010 - Mar 2013
- Study population:
 - GROUP 1 - Low impact Hip fracture
 - GROUP 2 - Hospital Database without fracture
 - GROUP 3 - Healthy postmenopausal women from the community
- Sample Size: 551 subjects (Agreement - 0.6, expected agreement - 0.5, power - 80% and level of significance - 5%)
- 316 cases and 312 controls (sensitivity - 80%, specificity - 70%, Precision - 5%, confidence limit - 95%)

Methodology

- The DXA scans - Hologic QDR 4500 Discovery A densitometer.
- Data regarding Age, Sex, BMD.
- T-score of Hip were computed using Hologic and ICMR databases.
- BMD sub-categorisation was based on WHO Classification.

Normal - T score ≥ -1
Osteopenia - T score -2.5 < T < -1
Osteoporosis - T score ≤ -2.5

Weighted Kappa was used to look at the agreement between Hologic and ICMR databases. Receiver operating characteristics (ROC) curve was plotted using different cut-offs of BMD and T scores, which could best predict the Hip fracture risk.

SAS 9.1.3 version was used for Data analysis.

Results

Demography

- Total subjects - 3098
- Hip fracture subjects - 316
- Hospital data - 2321
- Community data - 461
- Mean age - 60.1 ± 7.6yrs

SEX DISTRIBUTION

Total subjects (n= 3098): 89% Women, 11% Men

Hip fracture subjects (n= 316): 94% Women, 6% Men

Distribution of Hip BMD according to Hologic and ICMR database

HOLOGIC DATABASE	ICMR DATABASE			Total
	NORMAL	OSTEOPENIA	OSTEOPOROSIS	
NORMAL	1307	1	6	1314
OSTEOPENIA	271	994	2	1265
OSTEOPOROSIS	2	96	297	395
Total	1580	1091	305	

20% cases categorized as osteoporosis by HD were reclassified as osteopenia by ICMRD

Weighted kappa - 0.82, CI 0.80 - 0.83
ALMOST PERFECT AGREEMENT

Agreement between HD & ICMRD in Hip fractures

ICMR Database	Hologic Database		
	Osteopenia	Osteoporosis	Total
Osteopenia	121	73	194
Osteoporosis	0	120	120
Total	121	193	314

kappa - 0.65, CI 0.62 - 0.67
SUBSTANTIAL AGREEMENT

Comparison of Hip BMD between HD and ICMRD in subjects with hip fracture

23% (n=73) categorized as osteoporosis by HD were reclassified as osteopenia by ICMRD

ROC curve of BMD in relation with fracture using hospital data as controls

Area under curve : 0.89, CI - 0.86 - 0.90

Cut offs for predicting HIP fracture risk (Hospital control)

- BMD: 0.681 gm/cm² (sensitivity 82% & specificity of 71%)
- T SCORE HD: - 2.1 (sensitivity 82% & specificity of 69%)
- T SCORE ICMRD: - 2.0 (sensitivity 82% & specificity of 70%)

ROC curve of BMD in relation with fracture using community data as controls

Area under curve - 0.811, CI - 0.78 - 0.84

Cut offs for predicting HIP fracture risk (Community controls)

- BMD: 0.651 gm/cm² (sensitivity 80% & specificity of 65%)
- T SCORE HD: - 2.4 (sensitivity 81% & specificity of 62%)
- T SCORE ICMRD: - 2.2 (sensitivity 80% & specificity of 65%)

Discussion

- Agreement between the ICMRD and HD in categorization of BMD was "perfect" overall and "substantial" in Hip fracture subjects.
- About 20% subjects defined as osteopenia according to ICMRD were reclassified as osteoporosis by HD.
- ROC derived BMD cut offs for predicting the risk of Hip fracture were in the osteopenic range (T-score -2 to -2.4). **Sensitivity - 80% & Specificity - 70%**
- This could imply the importance of assessing other risk factors affecting bone health while making therapeutic decisions.
- Incorporating FRAX - INDIA in clinical decision making may help in better management of patients.

Limitations

- Other Risk factors predisposing to Hip fractures were not assessed in this study.

Reference

- Makker A, Mishra G, Singh BP, Tripathi A, Singh MM. Normative bone mineral density data at multiple skeletal sites in Indian subjects. Arch Osteoporos 2007;3:25-37.

Conclusion

- Use of ICMR database may lower the categorization into osteoporosis in Indians.
- Therapeutic decision may be considered even at osteopenic range (-2.0 to -2.4).
- However, prospective studies are needed to further validate our findings.